

Namespacing in SELinux

Linux.conf.au 2018
Sydney, Australia

James Morris
jmorris@namei.org

Introduction

- Who am I?
 - Linux security subsystem maintainer
 - Previously: Crypto API, Netfilter, SELinux, LSM, IPSec, MCS, sVirt
 - Recovering manager
 - blog.namei.org
 - @xjamesmorris
- Overview
 - Briefly review technologies
 - Discuss requirements
 - SELinux namespace prototype
 - Current work: inode labeling
 - Future work

SELinux

- Label-based mandatory access control (MAC)
 - Set security labels on:
 - Subjects
 - Objects
 - Define permissions
 - Centrally managed policy
 - Enforced by kernel
- Generalized
- Separation of policy and mechanism

Linux Security Modules (LSM)

- Kernel API for access control
- Hooks
 - Located at security decision points
 - All security relevant information available
 - Race-free
- Kind of like Netfilter but for the whole kernel
- Pluggable: Smack, SELinux, AppArmor etc.

Linux Namespaces

- Private views of global resources
 - mount, network, ipc, pid, user, uts, cgroup
- APIs: clone(2), setns(2), unshare(2)
- See also: pam_namespace(8)
- Uses:
 - Sandboxes
 - Containers
 - Multi-level security (!)
- **No** namespacing of LSM or other security APIs

Containers

- Not a Thing ™
- Actually namespaces + cgroups + magic
 - Docker, lxc, lxd etc.
- Very popular
- Kernel security APIs not containerized, e.g.
 - Limits functionality for OS-like containers
 - SELinux on Fedora-based distros pretends to be disabled inside container, and yet ... !

Use Cases

- Enable SELinux confinement within a container
 - Currently runs as one global label and appears disabled inside container
- Running SELinux enabled within container but not host
 - ChromeOS running Android SELinux container
- Different policy / distro versions on same host
 - Build systems, devops
- Virtual smartphone environment (Cells/Cellrox)
 - Multiple Android instances

Requirements

- Common requirement across several use-cases:
 - Private kernel security APIs inside containers
- OS-like behavior inside container:
 - Load and enforce own policy
 - Independent enforcing mode
 - Isolate from global and other containers
 - Potentially with different versions of policy
 - Fully privileged & not dependent on user namespaces
 - *Potentially with different distros and/or LSMs (**hard**)*

LSM Namespacing

- Just create an LSM namespace!
- Presented idea briefly at Plumbers 2017
 - Feedback:
 - Not enough semantic information at LSM layer, no generic solution, would be very complex
 - Real work needs to be done in security modules
 - Implement there
- SELinux prototype already written
 - Just a few problems to solve...

SELinux Namespace Prototype

- Developed by Stephen Smalley
- Published at:
 - <https://github.com/stephensmalley/selinux-kernel/tree/selinuxns>
- **Defines:** `struct selinux_ns`
 - Encapsulates global SELinux state
 - Policy database, object label mapping etc.
 - Passed to internal APIs (“security server”)
 - Operates on `selinux_ns` instead of global state
 - Initial / global namespace:
 - `struct selinux_ns *init_selinux_ns;`

SELinux Namespace Prototype

- Adds per-namespace selinuxfs instances
 - unshare mount ns and mount new selinuxfs
- Move AVC into namespace
- Add per-namespace support for
 - in-memory inodes & superblocks
 - creds
 - SELinux netlink socket
 - requires unsharing network namespace
- Write to selinuxfs unshare node to instantiate

Prototype: Example Use

```
echo 1 > /sys/fs/selinux/unshare  
unshare -m -n  
umount /sys/fs/selinux  
mount -t selinuxfs none /sys/fs/selinux  
load_policy  
runcon unconfined_u:unconfined_r:unconfined_t:s0:c0.c1023 /bin/bash  
setenforce 1
```

Next Step: On-Disk Inodes

- Prototype only supports in-memory inodes
- Implemented for on-disk inodes as xattrs
 - security.selinux = “something”
- Need a way to namespace these labels
 - Answer: extend extended attribute:
 - security.selinux.NS_NAME = “maybe something else”

On-Disk Inodes

- '`NS_NAME`' can be anything:
 - container name, UUID, whatever
 - write value to 'unshare' node
- Translated by kernel:
 - Entirely transparent to container
 - No app or policy changes needed
 - Global admin tools need to be aware

On-Disk Inodes: Example Use

- Create a namespace "NS1":

```
echo NS1 > /sys/fs/selinux/unshare  
unshare -m -n  
umount /sys/fs/selinux  
mount -t selinuxfs none /sys/fs/selinux  
load_policy  
runcon unconfined_u:unconfined_r:unconfined_t:s0:c0.c1023 /bin/bash  
setenforce 1  
cat /sys/fs/selinux/unshare  
NS1
```

On-Disk Inodes: Example Use

- Create a file 'c':

```
touch c  
ls -lZ  
-rw-r--r--. root root unconfined_u:object_r:admin_home_t:s0 c
```

- The on-disk label actually looks like this:

```
getfattr -d -m . c  
file: c  
security.selinux.NS1="unconfined_u:object_r:admin_home_t:s0"
```

On-Disk Inodes: v0.1 Feedback

- Track namespace nesting to maintain label provenance
 - e.g. prevent leaking sensitive files
- Label files in ancestor namespaces upon creation
- Nested policy enforcement
- Label inheritance rules
- Read-only shared labels
 - e.g. shared /usr

On-Disk Inodes v0.2: Nested Example

- With v0.2 patchset, create a nested namespace, "NS2":

```
echo NS2 > /sys/fs/selinux/unshare  
unshare -m -n  
umount /sys/fs/selinux  
mount -t selinuxfs none /sys/fs/selinux  
load_policy  
runcon unconfined_u:unconfined_r:unconfined_t:s0:c0.c1023 /bin/bash  
setenforce 1  
cat /sys/fs/selinux/unshare  
NS1.NS2
```

On-Disk Inodes v0.2: Nested Example

- Create a new file, 'd':

```
touch d  
ls -Z  
-rw-r--r--. root root system_u:object_r:unlabeled_t:s0 c  
-rw-r--r--. root root unconfined_u:object_r:admin_home_t:s0 d
```

- Relabel the file:

```
chcon -t etc_t d  
ls -Z d  
-rw-r--r--. root root unconfined_u:object_r:etc_t:s0 d
```

- View the on-disk label:

```
getfattr -d -m . d  
file: d  
security.selinux.NS1.NS2="unconfined_u:object_r:etc_t:s0"
```

Current Work

- `listxattr(2)` filtering
 - need to translate namespace names
 - xattr list is constructed at fs-level
 - two possibilities, via LSM hooks:
 - fs callback into SELinux
 - rewrite xattr list at SELinux level
 - both ugly
 - Any ideas? Email me...

Future Work

- Label inheritance
- Object inheritance (open fd)
- Nested enforcement
- Label sharing
- Networking
- Non-current namespaces:
 - Not all hooks invoked in process context
 - Operation on behalf of other credentials
- Logging

Discussion

Overflow Slides: Open Issues

- Should kernel enforce required set of namespaces (SELinux + mount + net) ?
- SELinux ns attached to cred and not tied to user ns.
 - Should we: wrap user ns in a more abstract security ns which may include: user ns, SELinux ns, other security ns's ?
- Issues re. inode & superblock blobs:
 - Pins SELinux ns in memory for lifetime of blobs
 - Handling non-sleepable callers when objects accessed for first time in namespace

Overflow Slides: Open Issues

- How to bound resource usage when creating SELinux namespaces
- How to manage nested access control policies and labels
- How to deal with secids (32-bit IDs) which are passed to core kernel and cached there
 - Make them global (Casey's suggestion)
 - Convey namespace info with them
 - Also a major stacking issue
 - 64-bits?